

1 BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER &  
2 BIRKHAEUSER, LLP  
3 ALAN R. PLUTZIK (Bar No. 077785)  
4 [aplutzik@bramsonplutzik.com](mailto:aplutzik@bramsonplutzik.com)  
5 JENNIFER S. ROSENBERG (Bar No. 121023)  
6 jrosenberg@bramsonplutzik.com  
7 2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 125  
8 Walnut Creek, California 94598  
9 Telephone: (925) 945-0200

7 IZARD, KINDALL & RAABE, LLP  
8 MARK P. KINDALL (Bar #138703)  
9 mkindall@ikrlaw.com  
10 29 South Main Street, Suite 305  
11 West Hartford, CT 06107  
12 Telephone: (860) 493-6292

13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

14 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

15 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

16 LBM PROPERTIES, LLC ("LBM"), a California  
17 Limited Liability Company, POSAMAR, LLC  
18 ("Posamar"), a California Limited Liability  
19 Company, AMILA, LLC ("Amila"), a California  
20 Limited Liability Company, and GEORGE  
21 KEFALAS, an individual, on behalf of themselves  
22 and all others similarly situated,

23 Plaintiffs,

24 v.

25 DIRECTV, LLC, a California Limited Liability  
26 Company, and DOES 1 through 100,

27 Defendants.

No. BC540043

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINAL  
JUDGMENT**

Honorable Kenneth R. Freeman

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the order re Final Judgment, attached hereto as Exhibit A,  
2 was entered on August 4, 2020.

3  
4 Dated: August 12, 2020

BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER &  
BIRKHAEUSER, LLP

5  
6 

7  
8 

---

Alan R. Plutzik

Alan R. Plutzik (State Bar No. 077785)

[aplutzik@bramsonplutzik.com](mailto:aplutzik@bramsonplutzik.com)

Jennifer S. Rosenberg (State Bar No. 121023)

[jrosenberg@bramsonplutzik.com](mailto:jrosenberg@bramsonplutzik.com)

2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 125

Walnut Creek, California 94598

Telephone: (925) 945-0200

12  
13 ROBERT A. IZARD

[rizard@ikrlaw.com](mailto:rizard@ikrlaw.com)

MARK P. KINDALL (State Bar No. 138703)

[mkindall@ikrlaw.com](mailto:mkindall@ikrlaw.com)

IZARD, KINDALL, & RAABE

29 South Main Street, Suite 215

West Hartford, CT06107

Tel: (860) 493-6292

Fax: (860) 493-6290

18 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Individually and  
19 On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**EXHIBIT A**

COPY

1 BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER &  
2 BIRKHAUSER, LLP  
3 ALAN R. PLUTZIK (Bar No. 077785)  
4 [aplutzik@bramsonplutzik.com](mailto:aplutzik@bramsonplutzik.com)  
5 JENNIFER S. ROSENBERG (Bar No. 121023)  
6 [jrosenberg@bramsonplutzik.com](mailto:jrosenberg@bramsonplutzik.com)  
7 2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120  
8 Walnut Creek, California 94598  
9 Telephone: (925) 945-0200

10 IZARD, KINDALL & RAABE, LLP  
11 MARK P. KINDALL (Bar #138703)  
12 [mkindall@ikrlaw.com](mailto:mkindall@ikrlaw.com)  
13 29 South Main Street, Suite 305  
14 West Hartford, CT 06107  
15 Telephone: (860) 493-6292

16 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

29 LBM PROPERTIES, LLC ("LBM"), a California  
30 Limited Liability Company, POSAMAR, LLC  
31 ("Posamar"), a California Limited Liability  
32 Company, AMILA, LLC ("Amila"), a California  
33 Limited Liability Company, and GEORGE  
34 KEFALAS, an individual, on behalf of themselves  
35 and all others similarly situated,

36 Plaintiffs,

37 v.

38 DIRECTV, LLC, a California Limited Liability  
39 Company, and DOES 1 through 100,

40 Defendants.

MAYER BROWN LLP  
HANS J. GERMANN  
[hgermann@mayerbrown.com](mailto:hgermann@mayerbrown.com)  
ANDREW Z. EDELSTEIN  
[aedelstein@mayerbrown.com](mailto:aedelstein@mayerbrown.com)  
350 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071-1503  
Telephone: (213) 229-9500  
Facsimile: (213) 625-0248

Attorneys for Defendant DIRECTV, LLC

CONFORMED COPY  
ORIGINAL FILED  
Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles  
AUG 04 2020  
Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court  
By: Berta Guerrero, Deputy

No. BC540043

~~[PROPOSED]~~ FINAL JUDGMENT

Case Assigned for All Purposes to the  
Hon. Kenneth R. Freeman

Case Filed: March 20, 2014  
Trial Date: September 20, 2019

By Fax

RECEIVED  
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

OCT 31 2019

S. DREW

On August 4, 2020, ~~2019~~

this Court signed and entered its Final Approval Order Granting Approval to Proposed Settlement (the "Final Approval Order") in the above-captioned matter as to the following class of persons ("Class"):

All persons or entities ("Landlords") that own and rent or lease residential MDUs in the State of California upon or in common or restricted areas of which Defendant DIRECTV, LLC, or its agents have permanently installed DIRECTV Equipment.

**JUDGMENT IS HEREBY ENTERED**, as to the specified Class; Plaintiffs LBM Properties, LLC, Posamar, LLC, Amila, LLC, and George Kefalas ("Plaintiffs"); and Defendant DIRECTV, LLC ("Defendant") on the terms and conditions of the Stipulation of Settlement approved by the Court's Final Approval Order.

1. The Court, for purposes of this Judgment, adopts the terms and definitions set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement.

2. Payments to the Settlement Administrator, payments of incentive awards to the Class Representatives, and payment of the award of Class Counsel's attorney's fees and expenses shall be made as outlined in the Stipulation of Settlement and the Court's Final Approval Order.

3. Upon the Effective Date, and pursuant to Article V of the Stipulation of Settlement, the Final Approval Order and this Judgment, the Releasing Parties shall have, by operation of the Final Approval Order and this Judgment, fully, finally and forever released, relinquished, and discharged the Released Parties from any and all UCL claims that were asserted in the Action or that could have been asserted in the Action based on the conduct alleged in the complaint. This release does not include or apply to claims for damages, which are not available under the UCL.

4. This Judgment, the Final Approval Order, the Stipulation of Settlement, the Settlement that it reflects, and any and all acts, statements, documents or proceedings relating to the Settlement are not, and shall not be construed as, or used as an admission by or against any party of any fault, wrongdoing, or liability on their part, or of the validity of any claims asserted in the Action.

1           5. All claims that (1) have been asserted in this Action by or on behalf of Plaintiffs or  
2 the Class and (2) were certified for class treatment by this Court are hereby dismissed in their  
3 entirety with prejudice. For avoidance of doubt, Plaintiffs' individual claims seeking damages are  
4 not dismissed in this Judgment. Except as otherwise provided in the Final Approval Order, this  
5 Judgment and/or in such other Order as the Court may enter awarding attorneys' fees and expenses  
6 in this Action at or following the Final Approval Hearing, the Parties shall bear their own attorneys'  
7 fees, costs, and litigation expenses; provided, however, that nothing herein prevents Plaintiffs from  
8 seeking attorneys' fees, costs, and litigation expenses incurred after entry of the Final Approval  
9 Order in connection with the pursuit of their individual claims for damages. Without affecting the  
10 finality of the Judgment hereby entered, the Court reserves jurisdiction over the implementation of  
11 the Settlement, including enforcement and administration of the Stipulation of Settlement, including  
12 any releases in connection therewith, the enforcement of policy change. Defendant is required to  
13 make as a result of the Stipulation of Settlement, and any other matters related or ancillary to the  
14 foregoing.

15           6. The Class Members bound by this Judgment shall include all persons falling within  
16 the definition of the Class. No Class Member submitted a timely and valid request for exclusion.

17           7. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this Final Judgment shall be interpreted to  
18 prohibit the use of this Judgment in a proceeding to consummate or enforce the Stipulation of  
19 Settlement or Judgment, or to defend against the assertion of any released claims in any other  
20 proceeding, or as otherwise required by law.

21           8. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, California Code of Civil Procedure Section  
22 664.4 and Rule 3.769(h) of the California Rules of Court, this Court retains jurisdiction over the  
23 parties to enforce the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement, the Final Approval Order, and this  
24 Judgment.

25 JUDGMENT ENTERED:

KENNETH R. FREEMAN

26 Dated: August 4, 2020

\_\_\_\_\_  
Kenneth R. Freeman  
Judge of the Superior Court